IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

	Plaintiff,)))	CASE NO.		
v.	Defendant.)))	Magistrate Judge Carmen E. Henderson REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 26(f) AND LR 16.3(b)(3)		
	1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 16:3(b)(3), a meeting was held on and was attended by: counsel for plaintiff(s) counsel for plaintiff(s) counsel for defendant(s)				
	counsel for defenda 2. The parties: have not been required to				
		have exchanged the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and the Court's prior order.			

<mark>5:22-c</mark> The յ	v-01454-CEH Doc.#: 24-1 Filed: 07/31/23 2 of 4. PageID #: 170 parties recommend the following track:
_	Expedited Standard
Adm	inistrative Complex
	Mass Tort
4.	This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute
Reso	lution ("ADR") mechanisms:
	Early Neutral Evaluation
	Mediation
	Arbitration
	Summary Jury Trial
	Summary Bench Trial
	Case not suitable for ADR
5. of th	The parties have/have not consented to the jurisdiction e United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
6.	Recommended Discovery Plan:
	escribe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and at of discovery.

(b) D	Discovery cut-off date:
7.	Recommended dispositive motion date:
8.	Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or
addi	tional parties:
9.	Recommended date for a Status Hearing:
10.	Other matters for the attention of the Court:

Case: 5:22-cv-01454-CEH	Doc #: 24-1 Filed: 07/31/23, 4 of 4. PageID #: 172 Attorney for Plaintiff(s) 172
	Attorney for Defendant(s)
	Attorney for Defendant(s)